From Information to Action: Tools for Improving Community Participation in Education

SD Publication Series Technical Paper No. 110 Office of Sustainable Development Bureau for Africa U.S. Agency for International Development



From Information to Action: Tools for Improving Community Participation in Education

Eileen Kane and Joyce Wolf

March 2000

Prepared for The Office of Sustainable Development Bureau for Africa U.S. Agency for International Development

Contract No. HNE-5832-Q-00-4076-00; HNE-Q-00-94-00076 Project No. 9365832

Minimum system requirements

- 1. IBM compatible personal computer.
- 2. 32-bit Windows operating system: Microsoft Windows 95, 98, 2000, or NT.
- 3. Microsoft Internet Explorer, version 4.0 or greater. (Note: Internet access is not required, only Internet Explorer). During installation, the program will search your computer for the correct version of Explorer, and, if necessary, install it.
- 4. Minimum of 16 megabytes RAM for Windows 95/98, 32 megabytes of RAM for Windows NT/2000.
- 5. Hard disk with at least 20 megabytes of free space.

Installation

- 1. Put the CD-ROM disk into your CD-ROM drive.
- 2. Installation program should start automatically. Follow the instructions on your screen.

If the installation program does not start automatically:

- 1. Click "Start" on the task bar.
- 2. Choose "Settings," then "Control Panel."
- 3. Double-click "Add/Remove Programs."
- 4. Click "Install."
- 5. Follow the instructions on your screen.

Installation time will vary, depending on your version of Internet Explorer.

Contents

Minimum system requirements and installation 3

Preface 7
Acknowledgments 9

About this Toolkit 10 About community involvement 10 About you 12

What the Toolkit can do for you 13 How the Toolkit is organized 13 Some ways you can use the Toolkit 15

Organization-centered versus participatory approaches 17

References 19

Preface

Given the current stress in the educational systems of many countries, communities may increasingly have to take on new roles if their children are to participate in a quality education. Research has shown the powerful impact that community support for and monitoring of local schools can have. For real, lasting change to occur in schooling, community members need the skills and motivation to play a decision-making role and to be able to pass on that understanding to others—other community members, other communities, and new generations. For these reasons, many countries have in recent years begun to adopt programs that support the involvement of communities in education.

Three years ago, when community participation was first becoming an important component of basic education programs, the authors published *Planning for Community Participation in Education*. This software tool, which brought together the experiences of many countries, was designed to assist governments and donors in planning education programs that would involve communities. The wide acceptance of that software tool made it clear that people were interested in learning about a variety of approaches to community involvement. It has also demonstrated the ability of a software tool to bring together a large amount of material and to organize the information in a manner that allows easy access and illustrates relationships.

From Information to Action: Tools for Improving Community Participation in Education adopts a similar approach, which is to use a software tool to distribute information about community participation in education. It begins where the planning process of the previous tool ended, focusing on how to actually design and implement programs with community participation. We hope that this software program will find its way onto the computers of the people all over the world who are working to assist communities in helping their children receive a basic education.

Julie Owen-Rea

Julie Rea

Office of Sustainable Development

Bureau for Africa

U.S. Agency for International Development

Acknowledgments

Many people contributed to the production of this software tool—too many to mention individually here. We would like to express our deep appreciation to all who supplied materials, answered questions and, in general, assisted us in leaning more about community participation in education.

We would like to thank Ed Hurst, of Etonnant Technology, for his skills in translating our ideas and words into software. We would also like to thank James A. Socknat, the World Bank, for permission to adapt materials written by Eileen Kane for *Guide for Task Managers*, and USAID and GroundWork for permission to adapt other materials by the authors for earlier publications.

Finally, we would like to thank our editor, Louise Vimmerstedt, for her careful work and suggestions on the text as well as Christine Chumbler of USAID's Africa Bureau Information Center for her editing and formatting contributions.

About this Toolkit

This Toolkit shows how to work with communities to create local involvement in basic education programs:

- how to get the community information you need to make programs more relevant
- how to share information with the community
- how to use information to develop programs

It also explains some basic information-getting tools that you can use to carry out these tasks. Although all of our examples relate to education, what you learn here can be used for programs in other sectors as well.

About community involvement

Research has shown that there is a correlation between effective, committed community participation and the success of development initiatives. Community involvement leads to:

- better identification of needs
- more appropriate activities
- better use of local resources
- more effective implementation
- more sustainable programs

The philosophies underlying community involvement owe a lot to the thinking of writers such as Freire, Illich and Faure, who were concerned with community involvement in education. Community involvement in education encourages:

- greater equity and democracy
- greater "ownership" of and responsiveness toward the school
- increasing recognition of the value of education for all

But program planners, policymakers and educators have practical concerns as well. Increasing community involvement in education can lead to

- more resources for the school
- greater relevance of the school, in terms of culture, curriculum, and schedules
- reduction of the work burden of teachers and principals
- the addition of another, often very well-informed, voice

If you would like to learn more about how community involvement can help education, another Toolkit in this series, *Community Participation in Education* (Wolf, Kane and Strickland, 1997) shows how community involvement has been used to achieve a wide range of educational goals and strategies.

About you

You may be a planner, administrator, or educator working at the national, regional, or local level in a government department, an agency or a research institution. You might like to get a better idea of how to work with communities. You want to use this knowledge to get the community more involved in education programs. The "community" may be a single village, a town, a neighborhood—or it may be many of these, if you are working on a large program.

But in planning and implementing these programs, you also want the community to work with you. This involvement may be quite modest—asking the community to share local insights to improve an organization's planning and implementation; or it may be more participatory—working with communities in a more active partnership throughout the life of a program. This computer Toolkit will show you both approaches—organization-centered and participatory—and how they work.

To achieve your aims of better education programs based on greater community involvement, you will need to learn more about the community, its problems, and its resources; you will have to share information with the communities, and you will need to use what you have learned to help design better programs.

But as you use the Toolkit, remember that you are not alone in your task of improving education: the "you" in the text can be an individual, an organization-based team, or

a team consisting of you and the community, depending on the degree of participation built into the work.

What the Toolkit can do for you

The Toolkit will help you to:

- design more appropriate programs based on community input
- involve the community more in the design and implementation process
- plan your institution's approach to working with the community (What steps need to be taken?)
- work more effectively with consultants
 ("Participation" and "community involvement" mean
 many things to many people. How can you insure
 that your institution and the consultant are
 working cooperatively in the most effective way?)
- plan your institution's training needs (What areas in the Toolkit reflect skills already present in your institution? What skills do you need to develop?)

How the Toolkit is organized

The Toolkit has two major sections. Each section has a number of subsections beneath it. At the end of this manual, you will see some basic instructions on how to use them.

The two major sections and their subsections are:

1. Tools for getting and sharing information

- Tools for getting information shows a variety of research techniques for getting useful information to create better education programs, such as surveys and focus groups. It shows you the strengths and weaknesses of each. It also provides some "tips" on sampling, combining techniques, theory, and common mistakes that researchers make.
- Tools for sharing information shows a number of examples of how sharing information can improve community involvement in education: by informing people about education, through institutions and through the media; by persuading people to participate, through social marketing, social mobilization and performance, such as drama; and by empowering people through incentives to participate—building local organizations to develop and strengthen community involvement, and training community members in activities necessary for school participation.

2. Tools for achieving action

Tools for achieving action is a process that has three major parts. Each part shows two ways of carrying out

the necessary tasks to improve education through greater community participation: working through the standard structures and processes of your organization, or working with the community through a more participatory approach. You can choose either, or in some cases, combine them. The parts of the process are:

- Identifying problems and causes, which shows how to discover community problems and their causes
- Identifying and assessing strategies, which shows how to work out what might address the community's education problems, and how to choose among possible options
- Creating an action plan, which helps to work through the stages needed to translate the information you have collected into activities to help the community

Some ways you can use the Toolkit

You can use the Toolkit:

...as a library of tools and processes for working with the community:

 Perhaps you want to carry out a basic survey. Is this the best tool, and if so, what does it involve?

- You need to know how to share information with the community: what are some ways of doing that?
- You want to design a program in a more participatory way—how do you get people's input? Their thoughts on what their problems are? Their ideas on some ways of addressing them? How do you find out which tasks the community might carry out, and which ones an external agency might do?

The Toolkit can be used to get information on any one of these topics.

...for working through a process

Effective action requires getting useful information on needs, priorities, constraints and resources; sharing it; using it to decide what to do; and working out how it will be done. This process is *iterative*—that is, what you learn in one stage determines what you will do in the next. You can use the Toolkit to follow this sequence.

...as a way of thinking about development and the rights and responsibilities of all of the partners involved

The theoretical discussions contained in the Toolkit give a foundation for thinking about working with the community.

...for supporting greater empowerment of local people

The word "empowerment" has many meanings, and some people rightly point out that the term loses its effectiveness when often used carelessly to embrace anything from raising people's awareness to inciting revolutions. In this Toolkit, "empowerment" means helping communities to use participation to:

- reflect on the causes of problems and what to do about them
- take effective action to achieve their goals

In this way of thinking, participation is a means to empowerment, not simply an end in itself.

Organization-centered versus participatory approaches

Look at the sections under "Tools for achieving action."

Some of them are labeled "organization-centered approach"; others are called "participatory approach."

Why are both included as ways of involving the community in education?

You may recognize the value of involving communities in planning better education programs, especially programs whose implementation will rely heavily on community involvement. However, if you work within an organization, you have certain constraints—the organization has a

clearly defined mission that may not correspond exactly to the community's ideas; and it has procedures that you are expected to follow. Who is at the center of the planning process—your organization, or the community?

The Toolkit reflects a realistic approach to this question. Most organizations have structural and procedural barriers to participation, but some of these can be modified to allow more community input. The "organization-centered approaches" in the "Tools for Achieving Action" sections in the Toolkit will give you some examples.

On the other hand, not every problem is amenable to community involvement, and not everything done by communities is "participatory." The Toolkit takes a pragmatic approach, and tries to address these issues in the "participatory approach" sections in the "Tools for Achieving Action" section of the Toolkit.

References

- ActionAid-Nepal. 1992. *Utilization Survey Report Part 1, Rural Development Area, Sindhupalchowk.* Kathmandu: Monitoring and Evaluation Unit.
- Agarwal, Seema and Ash Hartwell. 1998. Childsope: A
 Community-based Effort to Improve Children's Well-being
 and Primary School Quality in Ghana. Accra: UNICEF.
- Anzalone, Steve. 1995. Multichannel Learning: Connecting All to Education. Washington, DC: Education Development Center.
- Arrossi, Silvana et al. 1994. Funding Community Initiatives. London: Earthscan Publications for the United Nations Development Programme.
- Berg, Robert. 1996. NGOs: Crucial Force in Third World
 Development and Politics. In Education and Development:
 Tradition and Innovation. Vol. 4. James Lynch, Celia Modgil,
 and Sohan Modgil, eds. London: Castell.
- Bowman, Margaret et al. 1989. Measuring Our Impact:

 Determining Cost-Effectiveness of Non-Governmental

 Organization Development Projects. Norwalk CT:

 Technoserve.
- Bray, Mark. 1986. Community Financing of Schools in Less Developed Countries: Mechanisms and Issues. Community Development Journal (21): 3.
- Brislin, R.W. 1990. *Applied Cross-Cultural Psychology*. Newbury Park, California: Sage.
- Casley, Dennis J. and Denis A. Lury. 1982. *Data Collection in Developing Countries*. 2nd. ed. London: Oxford University Press.
- Casley, Dennis J. and Krishna Kumar. 1988. *The Collection, Analysis and Use of Monitoring and Evaluation Data.* Ch. 6.
 Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.

- Chambers, F. and I. Forth. 1995. A Recipe for Planning a Project: A Novice Manager's Guide to Small Project Design. International Journal of Educational Development 15 (1): 61-70.
- Chambers, Robert. 1983. Rural Development: Putting the Last First. London: Longman.
- Chambers, Robert. 1992. Rapid Appraisal: Rapid, Relaxed, and Participatory. Discussion Paper 311. Brighton, UK: Institute of Development Studies.
- Chambers, Robert. 1994a. The Origins and Practice of Participatory Rural Appraisal. *World Development* 22(7): 953–69.
- Chambers, Robert 1994b. Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA): Analysis of Experience. World Development 22(9): 1253-68.
- Chambers, Robert. 1994c. Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA): Challenges, Potential and Paradigm. World Development 22(10): 1437–54.
- Chambers, Robert. 1997. Whose Reality Counts? Putting the First Last. London: Intermediate Technology Publications.
- Cole, Stephen. 1980. The Sociological Method: An Introduction to the Science of Sociology. 3rd ed. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Clarke, Peter B. 1993. Finding Out in Education: A Guide to Sources of Information. 2nd ed. Harlow: Longman.
- Craig, J. 1990. Comparative African Experiences in Implementing Education Policies. World Bank Discussion Papers. Africa Technical Department Series No. 83. Washington DC: The World Bank.
- Crouch, Luis, Beverly Schwartz, F. Henry Healey, and Joseph DeStefano. 1997. *Education Reform Support: Tools and Techniques*. Washington, DC: Academy for Educational Development.
- Darcy de Oliveira, Roseca and Miguel Darcy de Oliveira. 1981. The Militant Observer: A Sociological Alternative. In Budd Hall, Arthur Gillette, and Rajesh Tandon, eds. *Creating*

- Knowledge: A Monopoly? Participatory Research on Development. Khanpur, India: Society for Participatory Research in Asia.
- DeStefano, Joe. 1995. Community-Based Primary Education: The Experience of the BEEP Project in Mali. Washington, DC: Academy for Educational Development.
- Dudley, Eric. 1994. *The Critical Villager: Beyond Community Participation*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Easton, Peter. 1997. Sharpening Our Tools: Improving Evaluation in Adult and Nonformal Education. UNESCO Institute for Education and German Foundation for International Development.
- Easton, Peter. 1998. Decentralization and Local Capacity
 Building in West Africa: Results of the PADLOS-Education
 Study. Paris: Club du Sahel, Organization for Economic
 Cooperation and Development.
- Edwards, Michael. 1998. Are NGOs Overrated? Why and How to say 'No.' *Comparative Education* 1(1).
- Edwards, Michael and David Hulme. 1998. Too Close for Comfort? The Impact of Official Air on Non-Governmental Organizations. *Comparative Education* 1(1).
- Eisner, Elliot W. 1990. The Meaning of Alternative Paradigms for Practice. In Egon G. Guba *The Paradigm Dialog*. Newbury Park, California: Sage.
- Epskamp, Kees P. 1983. *Training Popular Theatre Trainers: A Case Study of Nigeria*. Occasional Paper #10. East Lansing: University of Michigan.
- GABLE SMC. 1998. GABLE SMC: Lessons Learned. Washington, DC: Creative Associates International, Inc.
- GABLE SMC. 1998. Field Worker Training. Washington, DC: Creative Associates International, Inc.
- Gaynor, Cathy. 1998 Decentralization of Education: Teacher Management. Directions in Development. Washington, DC: The World Bank.

- Geertz, Clifford. 1973. *The Interpretation of Cultures*. New York: Basic Books, Inc.
- Gerace, Frank A., and Gary Carkin. 1978. *Drama in Development: Its Integration in Non-Formal Education.*Occasional Paper No. 4. East Lansing: Michigan State University.
- Guild, Pat, Jeanne Moulton, Joy Wolf, Joe DeStefano, and Asivia Wandira. 1995. Support for Ugandan Primary Education Reform: A Formative Evaluation. Kampala: USAID.
- Gosling, Louise and Mike Edwards. 1995. *Toolkits: A Practical Guide to Assessment, Monitoring, Review, and Evaluation.*London: Save the Children.
- Guba, Egon G. and Yvonna S. Lincoln. 1989. Fourth Generation Evaluation. Newbury Park, California: Sage.
- Guba, Egon G. Ed. 1990. *The Paradigm Dialog.* Newbury Park, California: Sage.
- Hansen, Holger and Michail Twaddle. 1991. *Changing Uganda*. London: James Currey.
- Hart, Roger A. 1997. Children's Participation: The Theory and Practice of Involving Young Citizens in Community Development and Environmental Care. London: Earthscan Publications.
- Hedebro, Goran. 1984. *Communication and Social Change in Developing Nations*. Ames: Iowa State University Press.
- Hyde, Karin. 1989. *Improving Girls' School Attendance and Achievement in Developing Countries: A Guide to Research Tools.* Washington DC: USAID.
- Hyde, Karin A.L. and Esme C. Kadzamira. 1994. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Pilot Survey, University of Malawi. Paper presented at the workshop on the Objectives, Activities and Direction of the Social Mobilization Campaign for GABLE.

- Israel, Ronald C., Dennis Foote, and Janet Tognetti. 1987.

 Operational Guidelines for Social Marketing Projects in Public Health and Nutrition. Paris: UNESCO.
- Kane, Eileen. 1995. Seeing for Yourself: Research Handbook for Girls' Education in Africa. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Kane, Eileen and Mary O'Reilly de Brun. 1993. Bitter Seeds: Girls' Participation in Primary Education in The Gambia. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Kane, Eileen and Mary O'Reilly de Brun. 1995. *The Gambia: Why Gambian Households Underinvest in Education of Girls*. Washington, DC: The World Bank
- Kane, Eileen and Mary O'Reilly de Brun. 2000. *Doing Your Own Research*. 2nd. ed. London: Marion Boyars.
- Klees, Steven. 1998. NGOs: Progressive Force or Neoliberal Tool? *Comparative Education* 1(1).
- Kotler, P. and G. Zaltman. 1971. Social Marketing: An Approach to Planned Social Change. *Journal of Marketing*, 35(3): 3-12.
- Lichte, John, Henry Hamilton, and Ouseinei Kabo. 1996. The Use of Rural Radio to Test and Diffuse Extension Messages. Prepared for USAID/Niger.
- McKee, Neill. 1992. Social Mobilization and Social Marketing in Developing Communities. Penang: Southbound.
- Ministry of Education, Republic of Uganda. 1997. *Community Mobilization Training Manual*. Kampala.
- Ministry of Education, Government of Ghana. 1997. Ghana: Free Compulsory Universal Basic Education Programme. Report on the Mid-Term Evaluation of the Schooling Improvement Fund Pilot. Accra: Department for International Development.
- Miske, Shirley and Amy Jo Dowd. 1998. Teaching and Learning in Mangochi Classrooms: Combining Quantitative and Qualitative Information to Study Twelve Primary Schools

- in Malawi. Washington DC: Creative Associates International, Inc.
- Mitton, Roger. 1982. *Practical Research in Distance Education*. London: International Extension College.
- Moreno, Carlos, Carla Clason, and Amparo Borja. Nd. *Puppets* and the Theater. Technical Note No. 12. Amherst: University of Massachusetts.
- Moulton, Jeanne. 1994. *Interactive Radio Instruction:*Broadening the Definition. LearnTech Case Study Series
 No. 1. Washington, DC: Education Development Center.
- Muskin, Joshua. 1997. An Evaluation of Save the Children's Community Schools Project in Kolondieba, Mali. Washington, DC: Institute for Policy Reform.
- Mwiria, Kilemi. 1990. Kenya's Harambee Secondary School Movement: The Contradictions of Public Policy. *Comparative Education Review* 34(3).
- Miller, Delbert C. 1991. *Handbook of Research Design and Social Measurement*. 5th ed. Newbury Park, California: Sage.
- Nelson, Candace, Barbara MkNelly, Kathleen, Stack and Lawrence Yanovitch. 1996. *Village Banking: the State of the Practice.* New York: The Small Enterprise Education and Promotion Network and the United Nations Development Fund for Women.
- O'Reilly de Brun, Mary. 1994. Tender Shoots: A Case Study of Community Mobilization and Response to Problems of Girls' Education in Two Villages in The Gambia. Prepared for the World Bank.
- Otto, J. and A. Drabek. 1992. Design for Collaboration: A Study of PVO/NGO Umbrella Project in Africa.
- Oxfam Field Directors' Handbook. London: Oxfam.
- Patton, Michael Quinn. 1990. *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods*. Newbury Park, California: Sage.
- Reimers, Fernanado. 1993. Education and the Consolidation of Democracy in Latin America: Innovations to Provide Quality

- Basic Education with Equity. Cambridge: Harvard Institute for International Development.
- Rose, Pauline, Getacheew Yoseph, Asmaru Berihum and Tegegn Nuresu. Gender and Primary Schooling in Ethiopia. Sussex, UK: University of Sussex Institute of Development Studies
- Rugh, Andrea and Heather Bossert. 1998. Involving Communities: Participation in the Delivery of Education Programs. Washington, DC: Creative Associates International, Inc.
- Rutherford, Stuart. 1993. *Learning to Lend: Informal Credit and Savings Schemes in Bangladesh*. London: Save the Children.
- Salant, Priscilla A. and Don A. Dillman. 1994. How to Conduct Your Own Survey. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Salmen, Larry. *Beneficiary Assessment: An Approach Described*. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Serpell, R. 1982. "Measures of Perceptions, Skills, and Intelligence: The Growth of a New Perspective on Children in a Third World Country." In W.W. Hartup, ed., Review of Child Development Research, Vol. 6. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Serpell, R. 1996. Educational Alternatives to Schooling in Zambia. Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the International Society for the Study of Behavioral Development in Quebec.
- Sherman, Kerry. 1995. Community-Led Initiatives in Curriculum Development. Paper presented at the National Conference of the Comparative and International Education Society in Boston.
- Strickland, Brad and James Williams. 1997. Shared Schools: A Research Report on Save the Children's Village-Based Schools in Mangochi, Malawi. Washington, DC: USAID.

- Sutton, Margaret and Amodou Bah. 1998. Focus on Girls: USAID Program and Policies in Education, Guinea Case Study. Washington, DC: USAID.
- Thinh, Bui Gia. N.d. Qualitative Improvement of Basic Education through the Collaboration and Cooperation of Parents' Association. Paper presented at seminar on National Government Policies on Participation in Education.
- UNESCO. 1998. Statistical Yearbook. Paris.
- UNICEF. 1999. The State of the World's Children 1999. New York.
- USAID. 1995. Adult Literacy. USAID/Ghana Education Working Group Discussion Paper No. 1. Washington, DC.
- Vargas, German. Econauta: Mission Nature Radio Drama for Environmental Education in Costa Rica. LearnTech Case Study Series No. 5. Washington, DC: Education Development Center.
- Wolf, Joyce and Mihira Karra. 1994. Education's Impact on Girls: Five Generations of an Indian Family. Washington, DC: USAID.
- Wolf, Joyce, Grace Lang, Bekett Mount, and Diane Prouty. 1999. Where Policy Hits the Ground: Policy Implementation Processes in Malawi and Namibia. Washington, DC: USAID.
- Wolf, Joyce and Martina Odonkor. 1997. How Educating a Girl Changes the Woman She Becomes: An Intergenerational Study in Northern Ghana. Washington, DC: USAID.
- Wolcott, Harry F. 1973. *The Man in the Principal's Office: An Ethnography*. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- World Bank. 1994. El Salvador Community Education Strategy: Decentralized School Management. Report No. 13502-ES.
- World Bank. 1995. *Investing in People: The World Bank In Action*. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- World Bank. 1995. Improving Basic Education in Pakistan: Community Participation, System Accountability, and Efficiency. Report No. 4960-PAK. Washington, DC.

- World Bank. 1996. Staff Appraisal Report, Republic of Ghana, Basic Education Sector Improvement Program. Report No 15570-GH. Washington, DC.
- World Bank. 1999. World Development Report: Knowledge for Development, 1998/99. New York: Oxford University Press.
- World Education. 1995. "Community School Development and Parent Association Training Proposal." Bamako: World Education.
- World Education. 1995. "Institution Building for Community Development." Bamako: World Education.
- World Education. 1994. Promotion of Governance by Civil Society in Primary Education in Benin. Bamako: World Education.

For additional copies, contact: Africa Bureau Information Center U.S. Agency for International Development 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 1425 Washington, DC 20004-1703

Fax: 202-661-5890

E-mail: abic@rrs.cdie.org

To obtain copies electronically, visit <www.dec.org>.





